Minutes of Neighbourhood Planning meeting: Tuesday 3.10 2017

Venue: Village Community Centre Committee Room Meeting started at 6.10 pm – 7.40 pm

Attendees: Adam Nicholls (S. Norfolk Coucil), Clare Crane (Clerk Starston PC), Mary, Pauline, Ivan, Richard, Terence, Jenny, Lilith, Ann, Andrew Apologies: Jackie and Adam

Purpose of this meeting: To initiate the Neighbourhood Planning process

During the meeting the following papers were circulated: "Neighbourhood Policy Grid" looking at Cringlford, Brundall, Strumpshaw, Salhouse, Easton, South Wootton and Brancaster

Outcome of "Call for sites Dickleburgh and Rushall Villages April 2017"

Policies examples from Salhouse OE1: Development, Natural Heritage and Countryside, OE2: Enhancement of our Natural Heritage and Countryside, OE3: Protecting Our Dark Skies OE4: Managing Land Use Change OE5: Promoting Improved Connectedness in the Parish OE6: Promoting a Safer Village

Start:

6.06 Adam provided a broad overview of the next steps for Dickleburgh and Rushall and outlined the South Norfolk support for the development of the plan.

Consultation on the Greater Norwich Local plan will start in January and run until March. The first information regarding the call for sites process will be made available in early January, identifying sites as Green, Amber or Red. Considerations will be based upon safety and economy including school access. It will then be followed by further consultation. The National Planning Policy will be updated in the Spring. There will be consultation and all views will be taken into account. Petitioning will not carry additional weight over content and substance of response.

Currently a 40 year supply of housing already exists in South Norfolk.

Regarding the NP (Neighbourhood Plan). This document, should be viewed as the vision for the parish over the next 20 years. Once created it will have the same authority as the local plan or even the Greater Norwich Plan. Once the NP is created it will require annual monitoring and a 5 year review.

There is no specific time frame for the delivery of the NP. The NP can cover as much or as little as people wish.

It is essential that whatever is in the NP must be backed up by surveys and evidence.

Housing: If we want to be specific and state a number then we must have a sustainability audit. Our options regarding style, location, materials etc are broad but must have an evidence base to justify them. Specifying housing design may be the route way ahead.

Considering Traffic calming – this may be more aspirational and an ambition although it could be added as a requirement.

Essential that stakeholders are consulted this would include: Local land owners, Natural England, Utility providers, Employers, Businesses, Residents.

Discussion over the possibility of developing car parking as part of the NP. Agreed this could be something in the NP but be aware of land value. Transport and car parking could be built into aspects of the NP.

Infrastructures? What infrastructures are needed. Identify the deficits. This could include telecommunication systems for Rushall, footpaths, roads, parking.

Funding: There are 2 funding sources Central Government and South Norfolk. We will consider the application process after 26<sup>th</sup> October. We are unclear about the ramifications of under spending.

## 7.15 Adam left

7.15 - 7.40 planning next steps - planning for public meeting on 25th October at Village Centre

In the light of the information provided by Adam it was decided that the PC meeting scheduled for 25<sup>th</sup> October be cancelled. Given this it was felt that the NP working group should also cancel any preparations for the meeting.

## It was therefore decided to have a NP working group meeting on **Thursday 26<sup>th</sup> October** At the VC committee room starting at 7.00 pm and finishing at 8.00 pm

The purpose of the meeting will be:

- 1 To identify distinct areas of the parish in order to target questions for residents.
- 2 Agree an initial questionnaire to go to some targeted areas. This will help inform later questionnaires and start to provide an evidence base.

It was agreed key questions and information needed included:

Peoples addresses for follow up work and to ensure evidence Answers to the Questions:

What are your priorities for living in the Parish?

What is good about your immediate environment?

Even better if ..?

What do we want to develop to make the 2 villages and surrounding hamlets even better?

The meeting ended at 7.40